



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/737,140	12/13/2000	Andrew J. Fish	042390.P9468	9123	
75	01/06/2005	EXAMINER			
Kenneth B. Pa	aley OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	TRUONG, LECHI			
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire		2126			
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	nN.	Applicant(s)				
			09/737,14	0	FISH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
			LeChi Tru	ong	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2004</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12)									
2) Notic	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	• •	•	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/737,140 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are represented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, 15, 19, 20, 23, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Provino et al (US. Patent 5,732,282) in view of Kang (US. Patent 6,064,368).
- 3. As to claim 1, Provino teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: a processor (processor 11, col 2, ln 35-65), first computer system units (virtual device drives, generally identified by reference numeral 22, col 3, ln 15-38/ fig. 2), receiving information (information, calling information, col 3, ln 15-38, col 4, ln 21-42), memory (registration 20, col 3, ln 35-65/ col 4, ln 21-42/ registration data base, col 5, ln 45-50/ fig. 2), second computer system unit (application program and other virtual device drivers, col 3, ln 15-38/col 4, ln 21-42), an initial request (call information request, col 1, ln 54-67/ request, col 4, ln 1-12/ calling program, col 3, ln 35-65/ the call, col 4, ln 21-42), said received information stored in said memory before the receipt of said request /subsequent to said request (after registering with the registry 20, the virtual device drivers are available for call by calling programs, col 3, ln 35-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/737,140

Art Unit: 2126

4. Provino does not explicit use the BIOS routine for receiving and transmitting the information, the information comprises at least one of error information, status information, and configuration information. However, Kang teaches the BIOS (the interface controller 70 including an interface BIOS, col 3, ln 65-67/ the key board controller 30, col 6, ln 44-48), at least one of status information and configuration information (the key code data corresponding to the radio signal, col 3, ln 43-47/ the data, col 6, ln 43-47/ key code data, col 4, ln 44-48), the use the BIOS routine for receiving and transmitting the information (col 1, ln 32-35/ ln 60-65/ col 2, ln 50-56/ col 3, ln 44-46/ col 4, ln 44-48/ col 6, ln 44-47/ col 8, ln 18-25).

Page 3

- 5. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Provino and Kang because Kang 's the BIOS routine for receiving and transmitting the information, the information comprises at least one of error information, status information, and configuration information would provide the BIOS for controlling the input data from external input device.
- 6. **As to claim 2,** Kang teaches unit information, system information, error information, status information, configuration information, and event information, error information, status information, configuration information event information (the key code data corresponding to the radio signal, col 3, ln 43-47/ the data, col 6, ln 43-47/ key code data, col 4, ln 44-48).
- 7. As to claim 5, Provino teaches the received information in a memory is according to a time of receipt of said information (at the initialization, the virtual device driver registering with the registry 20, col 3, ln 15-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/737,140 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

8. As to claim 6, Provino teaches the receiving information stored in the memory before a receipt of said request (after registering with the registry 20, the virtual device driver are available for call by calling program, col 3, ln 15-38).

- 9. As to claims 10, 11, 14, 15, 19, 20, 23, 24, they are an apparatus claims of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 above.
- 10. Claims 3, 4, 12, 13, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Provino et al (US. Patent 5,732,282) in view of Kang (US. Patent 6,064,368) and further in view of PI (Persistor CF1 User's Manual BIOS Management Calls).
- 11. As to claims 3, 4, Provino teaches information (information, calling information, col 3, ln 15-38, col 4, ln 21-42).
- 12. Provino and Kang do not teach an API for the receiving and providing information.

 However, PI teaches an API for the receiving and providing information (BIOSAPI, Page 4 of 7 and 5 of 7).
- 13. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Provino, Kang and PI because PI's BIOSAPI would make computer system BIOS initialization more consistent with the operating environment.
- 14. As to claims 12, 13, 21, 22, they are apparatus claims of claims 3, 4; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 3, 4 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,140 Page 5

Art Unit: 2126

15. Claims 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, 18, 25, 26, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Provino et al (US. Patent 5,732,282) in view of Kang (US. Patent 6,064,368) and further in view of OSR (Using The NT Registry for Driver Install).

- 16. As to claim 7, Provino teaches information (information, calling information, col 3, ln 15-38, col 4, ln 21-42).
- 17. Provino and Kang do not teach a sequence number and an absolute time. However, OSR teaches a sequence number and an absolute time (Type value, start value, section: the keys, required values).
- 18. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Provino, Kang and OSR because OSR's Type value, start value, section would be able to correctly find, load and start a device.
- 19. As to claim 8, OSR teaches a categorized subset of all said received information (group, section: group).
- 20. As to claims 9, 16-18, 25-27, they are apparatus claims of claims 7, 8, 9; therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 7, 8, 9 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is (703) 305 5312. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,140

Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 6

supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 22, 2004